UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,149	08/27/2004	Lynn Henry Wheeler	10399-40264	5148
	7590 02/06/2008 INING MARTIN LLP	EXAMINER		
3343 PEACHTREE ROAD, NE			POLTORAK, PIOTR	
	A FINANCIAL CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA			2134	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

mN

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/711,149	WHEELER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Peter Poltorak	2134					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	VICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	ugust 2004.						
· 	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	<u>-</u>						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, , , , , , , , _	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	• •						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	•	(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attacl	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have be	en received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ı					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies r	ot received.					
•							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-37 have been examined.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for a priority based on a U.S. application No. 09/189,159 filed on November 9, 1998.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities: "record" should read "records". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-37 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of copending

Art Unit: 2134

(B) a digital signature derived from an

Application No. 10/711,147. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other claims of application 10/711,149 are an obvious variation of claims of the application 10/711,149. Even though an identity of a sender *could* be a subset of an electronic message it is not the same as the electronic message that must include information such as recipient address, for example.

See claims 1 and 3 and the discussed applications:

Application 10/711,149 Application 10/711,147 [Claim 1] [Claim 1] In a system for performing an action, in In a system for performing an action, in response to an electronic communication response to an electronic communication regarding an account, which electronic regarding an account, which electronic communication is received from a sender by communication is received from a sender a receiver, a method comprising the steps of: by a receiver, a method comprising the (a) initially, associating by the receiver, steps of: sender identity information and a public key of (a) initially, associating by the receiver, a public-private key pair with the account sender identity information and a public key of a public-private key pair with the such that the public key is retrievable based on the sender identity information, wherein account such that the public key is the account comprises entity information, and retrievable based on the sender identity wherein the public key is associated with the information, wherein the account account in a computer database; and comprises entity information, and wherein thereafter the public key is associated with the (b) receiving the electronic communication account in a computer database; and from the sender, thereafter (i) wherein the electronic communication was (b) receiving the electronic communication created after the association of the sender from the sender, identity information and the public key with (i) wherein the electronic communication the account in step (a), was created after the association of the (ii) wherein the electronic communication sender identity information and the public comprises, key with the account in step (a), (A) the sender identity information, and (ii) wherein the electronic communication

comprises,

Art Unit: 2134

electronic message using the private key of the pair from and electronic message possessed first by the sender before the receiver, the sender identity information being different from the electronic message, and

- (iii) wherein the electronic communication is communicated electronically from the sender; and
- (c) validating the identity of the sender for the electronic communication by only performing the steps of,
- (i) utilizing the sender identity information received in the electronic communication to retrieve the public key based on the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account performed in step (a), and
- (ii) comparing a function of the public key and the digital signature with a function of the electronic message, wherein the function of the public

key and the digital signature comprises decrypting the digital signature using the public key.

whereby a comparison resulting in a match validates the identity of the sender.

[Claim 3]

In a system for performing an action, in response to an electronic communication regarding an account, which electronic communication is received from a sender by a receiver, a method comprising the steps of:

(a) initially, associating by the receiver, sender identity information and a public key of a public-private key pair with the account such that the public key is retrievable based on the sender identity information, wherein the account comprises entity information and the sender identity information comprises other than an account number, and wherein the public key is associated with the account

- (A) the sender identity information, and
- (B) a digital signature derived from an electronic message using the private key of the pair, and
- (iii) wherein the electronic communication is communicated electronically from the sender; and (c) validating the identity of the sender for the electronic communication by only performing the steps of,
- (i) utilizing the sender identity information received in the electronic communication to retrieve the public key based on the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account performed in step (a), and (ii) comparing a function of the public key and the digital signature with a function of the electronic message, wherein the function of the public key and the digital signature comprises decrypting the digital signature using the public key, whereby a comparison resulting in a match validates the identity of the sender

[Claim 3]

In a system for performing an action, in response to an electronic communication regarding an account, which electronic communication is received from a sender by a receiver, a method comprising the steps of:

(a) initially, associating by the receiver, sender identity information and a public key of a public-private key pair with the account such that the public key is retrievable based on the sender identity information, wherein the account comprises entity information and the sender identity information comprises

Art Unit: 2134

in a computer database; and thereafter

- (b) receiving the electronic communication from the sender,
- (i) wherein the electronic communication was created after the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account in step (a),
- (ii) wherein the electronic communication comprises,
- (A) the sender identity information, and
- (B) a digital signature derived from an electronic message using the private key of the pair from and electronic message possessed first by the sender before the receiver, the sender identity information being different from the electronic message, and
- (iii) wherein the electronic communication is communicated electronically from the sender; and
- (c) validating the identity of the sender for the electronic communication by (i) utilizing the sender identity information received in the electronic communication to retrieve the public key based on the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account performed in step (a), and (ii) comparing a function of the public key and
- (ii) comparing a function of the public key and the digital signature with a function of the electronic message, wherein the function of the public key and the digital signature comprises decrypting the digital signature using the public key,
- whereby a comparison resulting in a match validates the identity of the sender.

conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- other than an account number, and wherein the public key is associated with the account in a computer database; and thereafter
- (b) receiving the electronic communication from the sender,
- (i) wherein the electronic communication was created after the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account in step (a),
- (ii) wherein the electronic communication comprises,
- (A) the sender identity information, and
- (B) a digital signature derived from an electronic message using the private key of the pair, and
- (iii) wherein the electronic communication is communicated electronically from the sender; and (c) validating the identity of the sender for the electronic communication by,
- (i) utilizing the sender identity information received in the electronic communication to retrieve the public key based on the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account performed in step (a), and (ii) comparing a function of the public key and the digital signature with a function of the electronic message, wherein the function of the public key and the digital signature comprises decrypting the digital signature using the public key, whereby a comparison resulting in a match

validates the identity of the sender.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the

Art Unit: 2134

5. Claims 1-37 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6820202 and U.S. Patent No. 6820199 as well as claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 7032112 and U.S. Patent No. 7089421 and claims 1-44 of U.S. Patent No. 7257228. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. For example the limitation: calculating hash value of the electronic message" recited in the independent claims of the patent 6820202 would have been at least implicit. A digital signature is created by first generating a hash of a message then encrypting with a private key. A corresponding public key is used to validating the private key/identity of the sender.

To give an additional comparison of claim language, see the claim language of the current application and patent 7,257,228, for example:

Application 10/711,149	Patent 7,257,228
[Claim 1]	[Claim 1]
In a system for performing an action, in response to an electronic communication regarding an account, which electronic communication is received from a sender by a receiver, a method comprising the steps of:	In a system for performing an action, in response to an electronic communication regarding an account, which electronic communication is received from a sender by a receiver, a method comprising the steps of:
(a) initially, associating by the receiver, sender identity information and a public key of a public-private key pair with the account such that the public key is retrievable based on the sender identity information, wherein the account comprises entity information, and wherein the public key is associated with	(a) initially, associating by the receiver, sender identity information and a public key of a public-private key pair with the account such that the public key is retrievable based on the sender identity information, and wherein the public key is associated with the account in a computer database; and thereafter
the account in a computer database; and	(b) receiving the electronic communication

Art Unit: 2134

thereafter

- (b) receiving the electronic communication from the sender,
- (i) wherein the electronic communication was created after the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account in step (a),
- (ii) wherein the electronic communication comprises,
- (A) the sender identity information, and
- (B) a digital signature derived from an electronic message using the private key of the pair from and electronic message possessed first by the sender before the receiver, the sender identity information being different from the electronic message, and
- (iii) wherein the electronic communication is communicated electronically from the sender; and
- (c) validating the identity of the sender for the electronic communication by only performing the steps of,
- (i) utilizing the sender identity information received in the electronic communication to retrieve the public key based on the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account performed in step (a), and
- (ii) comparing a function of the public key and the digital signature with a function of the electronic message, wherein the function of the public key and the digital signature comprises decrypting the digital signature using the public key, whereby a comparison resulting in a match validates the identity of the sender.

from the sender,

- (i) wherein the electronic communication was created after the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account in step (a),
- (ii) wherein the electronic communication comprises,
- (A) the sender identity information, and
- (B) predetermined encoded information obtained by using the private key of the pair, and
- (iii) wherein the electronic communication is communicated electronically from the sender; and
- (c) validating the identity of the sender for the electronic communication by only performing the steps of,
- (i) utilizing the sender identity information received in the electronic communication to retrieve the public key based on the association of the sender identity information and the public key with the account performed in step (a), and
- (ii) comparing a function of the public key and the predetermined encoded information with a function of the electronic message, wherein the function comprises decrypting the predetermined encoded information using the public key, whereby a comparison resulting in a match validates the identity of the sender.

A full detail comparison would be provided upon finality of double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 8

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Wheeler (UPSN 6820202),

Menezes et al. (Alfred J. Menezes, Paul C. van Oorschot, Scott A. Vanstone, "Handbook of applied cryptography", 1997, ISBN: 0849385237), pg. 28-30.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Poltorak whose telephone number is (571) 272-3840. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and alternate Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1/31/08

KAMBIZ ZAND SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER